

**United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 99-1446

---

Dawn R. Rolph,

Appellant,

v.

Kenneth S. Apfel, Commissioner of the  
Social Security Administration,

Appellee.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Appeal from the United States  
District Court for the District  
of Minnesota.

[UNPUBLISHED]

---

Submitted: October 22, 1999

Filed: October 28, 1999

---

Before McMILLIAN, LAY, and FAGG, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Dawn R. Rolph appeals the district court's grant of summary judgment affirming the Commissioner's decision to deny Rolph disability insurance and supplemental security income benefits. For reversal, Rolph contends the record supports a finding that there are no other jobs in the national and regional economy that Rolph could perform and the administrative law judge improperly discredited Rolph's limitations and subjective complaints of pain. Having reviewed the administrative record and the parties' briefs, we conclude that Rolph's arguments lack merit. We find substantial evidence on the record as a whole supports the decision of the Commissioner that

Rolph is not disabled for social security purposes. Because the controlling legal principles are well established and an extended discussion would serve no useful purpose in this fact-intensive case, we affirm for the reasons stated in the district court's order. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.