

Carmelle Abron and Elizabeth Elliston, individually and on behalf of their minor daughters, appeal from the district court's¹ denial of their motion for judgment as a matter of law or for a new trial after they failed to object to omission of jury instructions and special verdict questions regarding their claims under the Minnesota Human Rights Act, Minn. Stat. Ann. §§ 363.01-.20 (West 1991 & Supp. 1998). Having carefully reviewed the parties' submissions, we conclude that the district court was correct. See Horstmyer v. Black & Decker, (U.S.), Inc., 151 F.3d 765, 771 (8th Cir. 1998) (plain error standard of review); Schmid v. United Bhd. of Carpenters & Joiners of Am., 827 F.2d 384, 385-86 (8th Cir. 1987) (per curiam) (absent transcript, court could not review appellant's arguments related to evidentiary issues), cert. denied, 484 U.S. 1071 (1988). Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

¹The Honorable Lyle E. Strom, United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska, sitting by designation in the District of Minnesota.