

Before WOLLMAN, HANSEN, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In this direct criminal appeal, Steven Roberson challenges the judgment entered by the district court¹ following Roberson's plea of guilty to drug offenses. For reversal, he argues that the district court erred in denying his motion to suppress evidence. Because there is no indication in the record before us that Roberson entered into a conditional guilty plea, preserving the right to appeal the denial of his suppression motion, we conclude that Roberson has waived his right to appeal the issue. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(a)(2); United States v. Vaughan, 13 F.3d 1186, 1187-88 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 511 U.S. 1094 (1994); United States v. Stewart, 972 F.2d 216, 217-18 (8th Cir. 1992). Accordingly, the judgment is affirmed.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

¹The Honorable Harry F. Barnes, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.