

PER CURIAM.

Ralph Weatherwax appeals the denial of his motions for injunctive relief and the dismissal by the district court¹ of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. After careful review of the record and the parties' submissions, we conclude that the magistrate judge did not exceed his authority, and that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Weatherwax's motions for recusal, and dismissing Weatherwax's claims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 and 41(b). See American Inmate Paralegal Ass'n v. Cline, 859 F.2d 59, 62 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 488 U.S. 996 (1988); cf. Aziz v. Wright, 34 F.3d 587, 589 (8th Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 1090 (1995). We also deny Weatherwax's motion on appeal for injunctive relief. Cf. Martin v. Sargent, 780 F.2d 1334, 1337 (8th Cir. 1985). Accordingly, we affirm.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

¹The Honorable Nanette K. Laughrey, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.