

United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 96-3225

---

Janice Gilard-Jones,

Appellant,

v.

Ricky Poff; Majestic Associates, L.P.,

Appellees.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Appeal from the United States  
District Court for the  
Eastern District of Missouri

[UNPUBLISHED]

---

Submitted: February 27, 1998

Filed: March 17, 1998

---

Before McMILLIAN, LOKEN, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Janice Gilard-Jones appeals from a final order of the United States District Court<sup>1</sup> for the Eastern District of Missouri, entering judgment upon a jury verdict in favor of Majestic Associates in Gilard-Jones's employment discrimination action. For the reasons discussed below, we affirm.

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Thomas C. Mummert III, United States Magistrate Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri, to whom the case was referred for final disposition by consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

Because Gilard-Jones has not provided this court with a trial transcript, we are unable to review her arguments relating to the lack of sufficient evidence to support the jury verdict. See Fed. R. App. P. 10(b) (describing appellant's duty to order transcript of proceedings); Meroney v. Delta Int'l Mach. Corp., 18 F.3d 1436, 1437 (8th Cir. 1994) (court unable to review issues raised by appellant who failed to provide trial transcript after motion for preparation of transcript at government expense was denied). Her complaints regarding her counsel's deficient representation are not grounds for reversal in a civil action. See Glick v. Henderson, 855 F.2d 536, 541 (8th Cir. 1988). Finally, Gilard-Jones consented to referral of her case to a magistrate judge.

Accordingly, we affirm.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.