



under a well-established standard. Because this is a diversity action, we review de novo questions of state law. Having considered the record and the parties' briefs, we are satisfied the district court correctly applied controlling state law, and the record supports the district court's rulings. We also conclude a comprehensive opinion in this diversity case would lack precedential value. We thus affirm on the basis of the district court's rulings without further discussion. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.