



Delta Int'l Mach. Corp., 18 F.3d 1436, 1437 (8th Cir. 1994). Accepting those findings as correct, we conclude no error of law appears. As to Johnson's timeliness claim, although the delay was considerable, Johnson has failed to show the delay prejudiced him or undermined the reliability of the magistrate judge's findings. See Petrilli v. Dreschel, 94 F.3d 325, 328-29 (7th Cir. 1996) (thirty-seven month delay not inherently prejudicial; absent prejudice court will not order new trial); Keller v. United States, 38 F.3d 16, 21 (1st Cir. 1994) (eight-year delay did not demonstrate district court had not performed decision-making responsibility with care; "appellate attention [must] remain focused on ensuring that trial court findings, despite inordinate decision-making delay, [are not] squandered unless their reliability has been undermined"). We thus affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.