

---

No. 96-1899

---

Stephanie Bostic,  
Appellant,

v.

Shirley S. Chater, Commissioner  
of Social Security,  
Appellee.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Appeal from the United States  
\* District Court for the  
\* Western District of Missouri.  
\*  
\* [UNPUBLISHED]  
\*  
\*

---

Submitted: December 11, 1996

Filed: December 16, 1996

---

Before FAGG, FLOYD R. GIBSON, and LOKEN, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Stephanie Bostic appeals the district court's grant of summary judgment affirming the Commissioner's decision to deny Bostic's application for supplemental security income benefits. After careful review of the arguments raised on appeal and the administrative record, we conclude the administrative law judge correctly applied the analysis under Polaski v. Heckler, 739 F.2d 1320, 1322 (8th Cir. 1984), adequately addressed Bostic's functional limitations supported by substantial evidence, and submitted an appropriate hypothetical question to the vocational expert. In sum, substantial evidence supports the decision of the Commissioner that Bostic is not disabled for social security purposes. Because an opinion by this court would have no precedential value, we affirm the district court without further discussion. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.