

---

No. 95-1877WM

---

Betti Sutton,

Appellant,

v.

Minnesota Mining and  
Manufacturing Company, also  
known as 3M,

Appellee.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Appeal from the United States  
\* District Court for the Western  
\* District of Missouri.  
\*  
\* [UNPUBLISHED]  
\*  
\*  
\*

---

Submitted: November 16, 1995

Filed: December 28, 1995

---

Before RICHARD S. ARNOLD, Chief Judge, HENLEY, Senior Circuit  
Judge, and FAGG, Circuit Judge.

---

PER CURIAM.

Betti Sutton appeals the district court's grant of summary judgment to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company (3M) on Sutton's sex discrimination claim. Having satisfied ourselves that Sutton established the elements of a prima facie case, we agree with the district court that Sutton failed to present any evidence tending to show that 3M's articulated reason for Sutton's termination--falsification of time records--was a pretext for sex discrimination. We also reject Sutton's argument that the district court abused its discretion when it denied her motion to vacate summary judgment, and we grant 3M's motion to strike deposition testimony that was not presented to the district court. We affirm without further discussion. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.