

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 23-1458

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Jonathan Francis Speidel

Defendant - Appellant

No. 23-1459

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Jonathan Francis Speidel

Defendant - Appellant

Appeals from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Central

Submitted: September 5, 2023
Filed: September 25, 2023
[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, COLLOTON, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In these consolidated appeals, Jonathan Speidel appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to sex offenses. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors). Further, the court imposed a sentence below the Guidelines range. See United States v. McCauley, 715 F.3d 1119, 1127 (8th Cir. 2013).

¹The Honorable Rebecca Goodgame Ebinger, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

We have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.
