United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	
	No. 17-1498	
	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	v.	
	Neldwin Adan Santana	
	Defendant - Appellan	rt t
	from United States District Cou uthern District of Iowa - Des M	
Su	Ibmitted: September 19, 2017 Filed: October 3, 2017 [Unpublished]	
Before LOKEN, MURPHY,	and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judg	es.
PER CURIAM.		
	a directly appeals the sentence llegally reentering the United St	

¹The Honorable James E. Gritzner, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence is substantively unreasonable. Santana has filed a pro se brief essentially arguing the same.

After thorough review, we conclude that the district court did not impose an unreasonable sentence, as the court carefully considered the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors and sentenced Santana at the bottom of the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (discussing appellate review of sentencing decisions); see also United States v. Petersen, 848 F.3d 1153, 1157 (8th Cir. 2017) (appellate court may apply presumption of reasonableness to within-Guidelines-range sentence); United States v. Stults, 575 F.3d 834, 849 (8th Cir. 2009) (where court makes individualized assessment based on facts presented, addressing proffered information in consideration of § 3553(a) factors, sentence is not unreasonable).

Having independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

-2-