United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	
_	No. 16-4535	
	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	v.	
	Ian Mackie	
	Defendant - Appellant	
	eal from United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis	
	Submitted: September 13, 2017 Filed: October 3, 2017 [Unpublished]	
Before LOKEN, MURPH	Y, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		

Ian Mackie directly appeals the sentence imposed by the district court¹ after he pled guilty to enticement of a minor and receipt of child pornography. Mackie's

¹The Honorable Rodney W. Sippel, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable. Upon careful review, we conclude that Mackie's sentence, which was imposed within his Sentencing Guidelines range, is not substantively unreasonable. <u>See United States v. Feemster</u>, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (discussing appellate review of sentencing decisions; if sentence is within Guidelines range, appellate court may, but is not required to, apply presumption of reasonableness).

Having independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.
