United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit
	No. 16-4432
	United States of America
	Plaintiff - Appellee
	v.
	Damian Mata
	Defendant - Appellant
	peal from United States District Court r the District of North Dakota - Fargo
	Submitted: July 24, 2017 Filed: July 26, 2017 [Unpublished]
Before WOLLMAN, LC	OKEN, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
Damian Mata dire	ctly appeals after he pleaded guilty to a drug

Damian Mata directly appeals after he pleaded guilty to a drug offense and the district court¹ imposed a sentence consistent with Mata's Federal Rule of Criminal

¹The Honorable Ralph R. Erickson, United States District Judge for the District of North Dakota.

Procedure 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, which contained an appeal waiver. Mata's counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the reasonableness of Mata's sentence.

We conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, applicable, and enforceable. <u>See United States v. Scott</u>, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); <u>United States v. Andis</u>, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). We have independently reviewed the record, pursuant to <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the waiver. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal, and we grant counsel's motion for leave to withdraw.
