United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-4285 Eugene H. Mathison Plaintiff - Appellant v. United States of America Defendant - Appellee No. 16-4286 Eugene H. Mathison Plaintiff - Appellant v. United States of America Defendant - Appellee Appeals from United States District Court for the District of South Dakota - Sioux Falls

> Submitted: July 18, 2017 Filed: July 26, 2017 [Unpublished]

Before COLLOTON, MURPHY, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In these consolidated cases, Eugene Mathison appeals following the district court's¹ denial of his petitions for coram nobis relief. Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court properly denied relief. See United States v. Camacho-Bordes, 94 F.3d 1168, 1173 (8th Cir. 1996) (standard of review; coram nobis writ should be granted only under circumstances compelling such action to achieve justice and to correct errors of most fundamental character); Azzone v. United States, 341 F.2d 417, 419-20 (8th Cir. 1965) (per curiam) (coram nobis petitioner not entitled to review of issues that were considered and resolved either on direct appeal or in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion). Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable Lawrence L. Piersol, United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota.