

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-2133

Amador Martinez Carrasco

Petitioner

v.

Jeff B. Sessions, Attorney General of the United States¹

Respondent

Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted: February 6, 2017

Filed: February 16, 2017

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, BOWMAN, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Mexican citizen Amador Martinez Carrasco petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) upholding an immigration judge's (IJ's)

¹Jeff B. Sessions is automatically substituted pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 43(c)(2).

denial of withholding of removal. Where, as here, the BIA adopts and affirms the IJ's decision, but adds its own reasoning, this court reviews both the BIA's and IJ's decisions together. See Garcia-Milian v. Lynch, 825 F.3d 943, 945 (8th Cir. 2016) (decisions are reviewed to determine if they are supported by substantial evidence, and are reversed only if petitioner shows that evidence was so compelling that no reasonable factfinder could fail to find in his favor). We conclude that substantial evidence supports the determination that Martinez Carrasco does not qualify for withholding of removal based on his membership in the particular social group he identified. See Gonzalez Cano v. Lynch, 809 F.3d 1056, 1058 (8th Cir. 2016) (to establish entitlement to withholding of removal, applicant must demonstrate clear probability that his life or freedom would be threatened due to, among other things, membership in particular social group: he must show it is more likely than not he will suffer persecution if returned to his home country). The petition for review is denied.
