## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-1742 United States of America Plaintiff - Appellee v. Nefer Raul Ariza Defendant - Appellant Appeal from United States District Court for the District of Minnesota - St. Paul Submitted: January 26, 2017 Filed: January 31, 2017 [Unpublished] Before LOKEN, BOWMAN, and KELLY, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM.

After pleading guilty to a drug offense, Nefer Ariza appeals the district court's<sup>1</sup> below-Guidelines sentence. His counsel has moved to withdraw and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 38 (1967).

We conclude that Ariza's appeal waiver should be enforced and prevents consideration of his claim. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into waiver and plea agreement, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). Having independently reviewed the record pursuant to Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal.

Accordingly, we dis	smiss the appea	l and grant co	unsel's motion	to withdraw

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Michael J. Davis, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.