

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 13-2891

Ricky Davis

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Arkansas - Little Rock

Submitted: April 23, 2014

Filed: April 28, 2014

[Unpublished]

Before WOLLMAN, BOWMAN, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Ricky Davis appeals from an order of the District Court¹ affirming the denial of supplemental security income. On appeal, Davis's only challenge is to the

¹The Honorable J. Leon Holmes, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

adequacy of the hypothetical the administrative law judge (ALJ) posed to the vocational expert (VE). We find no merit to this challenge. See Myers v. Colvin, 721 F.3d 521, 524 (8th Cir. 2013) (standard of review). Specifically, we conclude that the hypothetical set forth those impairments that were supported by substantial evidence on the record as a whole, that were accepted as true, and that captured the concrete consequences of the impairments and thus that the ALJ properly relied on the VE's response to the hypothetical to find Davis not disabled. See Renstrom v. Astrue, 680 F.3d 1057, 1067 (8th Cir. 2012); see also Hulse v. Astrue, 622 F.3d 917, 922 (8th Cir. 2010) (noting that VE's testimony constitutes substantial evidence when it is based on hypothetical that accounts for all of claimant's proven impairments). We affirm the judgment of the District Court.
