

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 13-2602

Cord Bowers; Natasha Bowers

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

Stephanie Yeoman; C Mark Chappelle; Iowa Department of Human Services,
Employees John and Jane Doe 1-10; Iowa Department of Human Services; State
of Iowa

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Des Moines

Submitted: December 18, 2013
Filed: December 31, 2013
[Unpublished]

Before MURPHY, SMITH, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Cord and Natasha Bowers brought a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action arising out of allegations that their infant daughter was unconstitutionally removed from Natasha Bowers without objective reason to believe that abuse or neglect had occurred. The

district court¹ dismissed the complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and the Bowers appeal. Upon careful de novo review of the record below, and the submissions before us on appeal, we conclude that the district court did not err in dismissing the complaint as failing to state a claim and as raising claims that were barred by qualified immunity. We also conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying leave to amend the complaint.

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable John A. Jarvey, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.