

**United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 10-2394

---

United States of America,

Appellee,

v.

Isaac Pike,

Appellant.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Appeal from the United States  
District Court for the  
Eastern District of Missouri.

[UNPUBLISHED]

---

Submitted: November 30, 2010

Filed: December 6, 2010

---

Before BYE, BOWMAN, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Isaac Pike appeals the district court's<sup>1</sup> denial of his Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(e)<sup>2</sup> motions for the return of property. Upon reviewing the district

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Carol E. Jackson, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

<sup>2</sup>In 2002, Rule 41 was reorganized, and the substance of former subsection (e) was incorporated into subsection (g). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 41 advisory committee's notes (2002 amends.) (language of Rule 41 was amended as part of general restyling of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; except as otherwise noted, changes were intended to be stylistic only).

court's legal conclusions de novo and its findings of fact for clear error, see Jackson v. United States, 526 F.3d 394, 396 (8th Cir. 2008), we conclude that the district court properly denied Pike's motions for the reasons it stated. Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

---