

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 10-2141

Robert E. Sanford,

Appellant,

v.

Nebraska Department of Correctional
Services; Robert P. Houston, Director
of Correctional Services; Fred
Britten, Warden at the Tecumseh
State Corr. Inst.; Unknown Sherman,
Unit Manager of SMU at Tecumseh
State Corr. Inst. et al.,

Appellees.

*
*
*
* Appeal from the United States
* District Court for the
* District of Nebraska.
*
* [UNPUBLISHED]
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Submitted: September 7, 2010
Filed: September 9, 2010

Before WOLLMAN, MELLOY, and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Nebraska inmate Robert E. Sanford appeals the district court's¹ 28 U.S.C. § 1915A dismissal without prejudice of his complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and

¹The Honorable Lyle E. Strom, United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska.

state law. Following de novo review, see Cooper v. Schriro, 189 F.3d 781, 783 (8th Cir. 1999) (per curiam), we conclude that Sanford's federal due-process claim was properly dismissed, because it was based on his placement in administrative segregation, which did not amount to an atypical and significant hardship. See Orr v. Larkins, 610 F.3d 1032, 1034 (8th Cir. 2010) (per curiam). We also find that the court did not abuse its discretion in declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Sanford's remaining state-law claim. See Gibson v. Weber, 431 F.3d 339, 342 (8th Cir. 2005).

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.
