

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 10-1945

United States of America,

Appellee,

v.

Jennifer Lynnette Furlong,

Appellant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the
Western District of Missouri.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: September 1, 2010

Filed: September 3, 2010

Before WOLLMAN, MELLOY, and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Jennifer Furlong challenges the 39-month sentence the district court¹ imposed after she pleaded guilty to three counts of access-device fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)(2), and three counts of identity theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A. Her counsel has moved to withdraw and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the reasonableness of the sentence.

¹The Honorable Nanette K. Laughrey, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

We conclude that the district court committed no procedural error and imposed a substantively reasonable sentence. See Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007) (in reviewing sentence, appellate court first ensures that district court committed no significant procedural error, then considers substantive reasonableness of sentence under abuse-of-discretion standard; if sentence is within applicable Guidelines range, appellate court may apply presumption of reasonableness); United States v. Haack, 403 F.3d 997, 1004 (8th Cir. 2005) (describing abuse of discretion).

Having reviewed the record under Penon v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and we affirm.
