

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 07-1607

Sheryl Louden,

Appellant,

v.

UNUM Life Insurance Company of
America,

Appellee.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the
District of Minnesota.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: June 6, 2008
Filed: July 7, 2008

Before BYE, SMITH, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Sheryl Louden appeals the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in her Employment Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) lawsuit against UNUM Life Insurance Company of America (UNUM) arising from the termination of long term disability benefits. Her sole argument on appeal is that the district court erred in applying a deferential abuse-of-discretion standard of review. We find nothing improper in the way the district court conducted its review. See Metro. Life Ins. Co.

¹The Honorable Patrick J. Schiltz, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

v. Glenn, No. 06-923, 2008 WL 2444796 (U.S. June 19, 2008) (clarifying judicial standard of review where entity is both insurer and administrator of ERISA benefits plan, and plan gives discretionary authority to administrator to determine eligibility for benefits); Torres v. UNUM Life Ins. Co. of Am., 405 F.3d 670, 677 (8th Cir. 2005) (reviewing de novo district court's determination as to proper judicial standard of review). Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.
