

**United States Court of Appeals**  
**FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 06-3203

---

United States of America,	*
	*
Appellee,	*
	*
v.	* Appeal from the United States
	* District Court for the Northern
	* District of Iowa.
Isidro Plancarte-Vazquez, also	*
known as Isidro Plancarte-Rueda,	* [UNPUBLISHED]
	*
Appellant.	*

---

Submitted: September 27, 2007  
Filed: October 23, 2007

---

Before WOLLMAN, COLLOTON, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

In this appeal following remand for resentencing, Isidro Plancarte-Vazquez challenges the sentence of 168 months in prison that the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed upon his guilty plea to conspiring to distribute 15,000 grams or more of methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A) and 846, and to possessing with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B). Plancarte-Vazquez argues that the district court erred by

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable James E. Gritzner, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa, sitting by designation in the Northern District of Iowa.

attributing 30,000 kilograms of marijuana equivalent to him on the basis of inconsistent and unreliable witness testimony, and that the court should have imposed a lower sentence based on its finding that older family members controlled and directed Plancarte-Vazquez.

We conclude that the district court's drug-quantity calculation is supported by the record and is not clearly erroneous. We also conclude that the ultimate sentence was not unreasonable with regard to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). The district court methodically considered the statutory factors, and because the sentence was within the advisory guidelines range, it is presumptively reasonable. See Rita v. United States, 127 S. Ct. 2456, 2462-65 (2007); United States v. Lincoln, 413 F.3d 716, 717 (8th Cir. 2005).

The judgment of the district court is affirmed.

---