

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-1244

United States of America,

Appellee,

v.

Jeffrey S. Sauerbry,

Appellant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the
Western District of Missouri.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: October 27, 2006
Filed: October 31, 2006

Before MURPHY, BYE, and MELLOY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Jeffrey Sauerbry appeals the 12-month prison sentence that the district court¹ imposed after revoking his supervised release. For reversal, Sauerbry argues the sentence was unreasonable because the court failed to consider the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

We conclude that the record reflects the district court considered the appropriate factors, and that the revocation sentence was not unreasonable. See *United States v.*

¹The Honorable Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

Nelson, 453 F.3d 1004, 1006 (8th Cir. 2006) (district court must consider advisory sentencing range and policy statements found in Guidelines Chapter 7, and must also consider § 3553(a) factors); United States v. Larison, 432 F.3d 921, 923 (8th Cir. 2006) (district court need not mechanically list every § 3553(a) consideration when sentencing defendant upon revocation of supervised release); United States v. Tyson, 413 F.3d 824, 825 (8th Cir. 2005) (per curiam) (revocation sentences are reviewed for unreasonableness in accordance with United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005)); United States v. White Face, 383 F.3d 733, 740 (8th Cir. 2004) (all that is required in revocation sentencing is evidence that court has considered relevant matters and that some reason be stated for its decision).

Accordingly, we affirm.
