

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 05-1508

Charles E. Goods,

Appellant,

v.

Crown Center Complex; Boulevard
Blues Clothing Store; Nova
Partnership, doing business as
American Heartland Theater;
Hallmark Global Services, Inc.;
Kessinger/Hunter Management
Company, Inc.,

Appellees.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the
Western District of Missouri.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: April 7, 2006
Filed: April 13, 2006

Before BYE, MAGILL, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Charles Goods appeals the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in his Title VII employment-discrimination suit. After de novo review, we agree with

¹The Honorable Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr., United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

the district court that the non-employer defendants were not liable, and that Goods failed to create trialworthy issues on whether he was terminated because of his race or suffered retaliation because of engaging in protected activity. See Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Prods., Inc., 530 U.S. 133, 143 (2000) (burden-shifting analysis); Knieriem v. Group Health Plan, Inc., 434 F.3d 1058, 1060 (8th Cir. 2006) (de novo review of Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) dismissal); Johnson v. AT&T Corp., 422 F.3d 756, 760 (8th Cir. 2005) (de novo review of grant of summary judgment). Further, we find that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appointment of counsel, see Phillips v. Jasper County Jail, 437 F.3d 791, 794 (8th Cir. 2006) (standard of review and relevant factors); or in refusing to compel production of a purported court order to monitor Goods, see Sallis v. Univ. of Minn., 408 F.3d 470, 477 (8th Cir. 2005) (review of discovery rulings is narrow and deferential).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B. We also deny Goods's pending motions.
