





As to the merits, we find the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Mr. Goodroad's motion as he is attempting to revive an action dismissed more than two years ago, and has not shown the exceptional circumstances necessary to grant him the relief he seeks. See Arnold v. Wood, 238 F.3d 992, 998 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 975 (2001). We further find the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Mr. Goodroad's request for recusal. See Pope v. Fed. Express Corp., 974 F.2d 982, 985 (8th Cir. 1992).

Accordingly we affirm, and we deny Mr. Goodroad's renewed request for recusal of Judge Jones and his request for appointment of counsel.

---