



under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) and 11 U.S.C. § 105. Alexander also has moved to strike appellee's addendum and portions of appellee's brief.

Alexander's Rule 60(b) motion sought relief from a June 1999 bankruptcy court decision disallowing his claimed homestead exemption, which the BAP and Eighth Circuit affirmed. We conclude the bankruptcy court did not abuse its discretion in denying Alexander relief. See In re Zimmerman, 869 F.2d 1126, 1127-28 (8th Cir. 1989) (standard of review); Klein v. Arkoma Prod. Co., 73 F.3d 779, 784 (8th Cir.) (under law-of-case doctrine, every question which appellate court has disposed of by decree is finally settled and determined, and district court is bound to carry decree into execution according to mandate), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 815 (1996); Baker Elec. Co-op., Inc. v. Chaske, 28 F.3d 1466, 1475 (8th Cir. 1994); Ins. Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee, 456 U.S. 694, 702 n.9 (1982). We also deny Alexander's motion to strike.

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.