

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 01-3625

Luanne E. Loraas,

Appellant,

v.

Robert Galvin; Patrick T. Skelly,
individually and as an agent and
partner of the Law Offices of Peters,
Jeddeloh & Skelly, LLP, and as an
agent for Robert Galvin; Paul A.
Jeddeloh, individually and as an agent
and partner of the Law Offices of
Peters, Jeddeloh & Skelly, LLP, and
as an agent for Robert Galvin;
Ferdinand F. Peters, individually and
as an agent and partner of the Law
Offices of Peters, Jeddeloh & Skelly,
LLP, and as an agent for Robert
Galvin; Peters, Jeddeloh & Skelly, LLP,
as a partnership and as an agent for
Robert Galvin; Shirley A. Reider,
individually and as an agent of Shirley
A. Reider, Attorney at Law, and an
agent of the Law Offices of Peters,
Jeddeloh & Skelly, LLP, and as an
agent of Robert Galvin; Shirley A.
Reider, Attorney at Law, a sole
proprietorship and as agent for the Law
Offices of Peters, Jeddeloh & Skelly,
LLP, and as an agent for Robert Galvin;

*
*
*
* Appeal from the United States
* District Court for the
* District of Minnesota

* [UNPUBLISHED]

Patrice K. Sutherland, individually and *
in her official capacity as an agent of *
Dakota County, as an agent of the State *
of Minnesota and as an officer of the *
State of Minnesota; Leslie M. Metzen, *
individually and in her official capacity *
as an agent of Dakota County, as an *
agent of the State of Minnesota and as *
an officer of the State of Minnesota; *
Dakota County; State of Minnesota, *
*
Appellees. *

Submitted: April 4, 2002

Filed: April 11, 2002

Before McMILLIAN, BOWMAN, and BYE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Luanne E. Loraas appeals from the final judgment entered in the District Court¹ for the District of Minnesota, granting judgment for defendants and disposing of her various federal and state law claims. This lawsuit arose when certain defendants initiated a sanctions action against Loraas after her client in a divorce proceeding had terminated Loraas's services. The parties submitted voluminous materials in support of their arguments, and the district court thoroughly reviewed each claim. After de

¹The Honorable John R. Tunheim, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Franklin L. Noel, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.

novo review, see Winkle v. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co., 195 F.3d 418, 420 (8th Cir. 1999) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 summary judgment); Ring v. First Interstate Mortgage, Inc., 984 F.2d 924, 926 (8th Cir. 1993) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) dismissal), we agree with the district court that all of Loraas's substantive claims lacked merit. Also, we defer to the district court's ruling that Loraas's objections to the magistrate's report be only ten pages, see In re Starr, 152 F.3d 741, 745 n.12 (8th Cir. 1998), and we concur with the district court's ruling that Loraas was not entitled to a default judgment for defendants' alleged untimely filings, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(B).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.