

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 99-3727

Anthony Keith Lanos, and others in
class action suit that are still awaiting
the right to sue from the EEOC,

Appellant,

v.

URS Logistics, doing business as
Americold Logistics, Inc.,

Appellee.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the Eastern
District of Arkansas.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: November 28, 2000

Filed: December 4, 2000

Before BEAM, FAGG, and LOKEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Anthony Keith Lanos appeals the district court's order dismissing under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2)(C) Lanos's employment discrimination action against his former employer, URS Logistics (URS). Lanos failed to provide URS with requested discovery, despite three court orders to do so. Having carefully reviewed the record, we conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing Lanos's

action. See Boogaerts v. Bank of Bradley, 961 F.2d 765, 768 (8th Cir. 1992) (per curiam) (standard of review). Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.