

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 00-1585

Daniel Hanic,

Appellant,

v.

Jeff Bloomberg, Secretary D.O.C.;
Douglas Weber, Warden SDSP;
John and Jane Doe, Inmate Account
Employees,

Appellees.

*
*
*
* Appeal from the United States
* District Court for the
* District of South Dakota.
* [UNPUBLISHED]
*
*
*

Submitted: October 19, 2000

Filed: October 24, 2000

Before BEAM, FAGG, and LOKEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

South Dakota inmate Daniel Hanic appeals the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action challenging South Dakota Department of Corrections Policy No. 1B.10. In addition to raising a procedural challenge to the application of the policy to him, Hanic claimed that the policy violated

¹The Honorable John B. Jones, Senior United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota.

substantive due process and his Fourth Amendment right against unreasonable seizures. Having conducted a de novo review, we reject Hanic's challenge for the reasons explained in the district court's thorough opinion. See Tillman v. Lebanon County Correctional Facility, 221 F.3d 410, 421-22 (3d Cir. 2000); Parrish v. Mallinger, 133 F.3d 612, 615 (8th Cir. 1998); Mahers v. Halford, 76 F.d 951, 954-56 (8th Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 1091 (1997).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.