

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

JCP No. 08-21-90001
JCP No. 08-21-90002

In re Complaint of John Doe¹

This is a judicial complaint filed by a civil litigant against two United States district judges. The first district judge was a named defendant in a lawsuit filed by the civil litigant; the second district judge was the judge assigned to the case. That judge dismissed the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.


The judicial complaint alleges that the complainant's home "was stolen by using [a] repealed law." The complainant maintains that "[t]his is a fraud case covered up by the courts so far."

The judicial complaint is devoid of any evidence to substantiate the complainant's claim that the district judges have "covered up" evidence of fraud against the complainant. Therefore, the allegations must be dismissed as "frivolous [and] "lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); *accord* Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States Rule (J.C.U.S.) Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D).

¹Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

The complaint is dismissed.

January 19, 2021



Lavenski R. Smith, Chief Judge
United States Court of Appeals
for the Eighth Circuit