

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

---

JCP No. 08-19-90050

JCP No. 08-19-90051

JCP No. 08-19-90052

JCP No. 08-19-90053

JCP No. 08-19-90054

JCP No. 08-19-90055

---

In re Complaint of John Doe<sup>1</sup>

This is a judicial complaint filed by a pro se inmate following the inmate's criminal conviction against four United States district judges and one United States magistrate judge.

The judicial complaint alleges "Manifest Injustice, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process, Rebellion or Insurrection, Treason, False Imprisonment, RICO violations, Unjust Enrichment, and/or any other civil or criminal misconduct that may be considered Act(s) of Fraud in-and-on the court that would tend to weaken the public Confidence in the integrity of the court." According to the judicial complaint, the judges "fraudulently conspired to initiate and prosecute [the] case." The judicial complaint contends that the prosecution and judges conspired "to obtain [the complainant's] conviction at any cost." It also asserts that one of the district judges "premeditatedly [sic], willfully, intentionally, [and] maliciously engaged in [d]enying the accused of [the accused's] 5th Amendment Rights of Due Process of Law." And, it maintains that another district judge "committed perjury on the witness

---


<sup>1</sup>Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

stand.” The judicial complaint alleges that the judges “‘all’ have a ‘CONFLICT OF INTEREST’ in said case.”

These allegations are “frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); *accord* Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (J.C.U.S.) Rule 11(c)(1)(C)-(D). Accordingly, the allegations must be dismissed.

The complaint is dismissed.

October 28<sup>th</sup>, 2019



---

Lavenski R. Smith, Chief Judge  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Eighth Circuit