

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

JCP No. 08-18-90081
JCP No. 08-18-90082
JCP No. 08-18-90083
JCP No. 08-18-90084

In re Complaint of John Doe¹

This is a judicial complaint filed by a pro se civil litigant against two United States district judges and two United States magistrate judges. The litigant had filed two separate civil rights actions. In both cases, the district judges, based on the magistrate judges' recommendations, dismissed the actions as frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

The judicial complaint alleges that the judges “enabled a ‘Culture of Retaliation’ against blacks after they received ‘constructive notice’” that the litigant was “intiat[ing] a legal action against them for corruption.” According to the judicial complaint, the judges are coconspirators who “carried out a ‘Political Vendetta’ after taking bribes and then lied about the application of [a state law] that was designed to protect [the litigant’s] rights as a Vulnerable/Incapacitated Adult.” The judicial complaint accuses the judges of “ma[king] up their own self-serving policies, practice[s], and procedures to overthrow [the litigant’s] U.S. Constitutional Fourteenth Amendment Rights” and state-law rights.

¹Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

The complainant's bare, speculative allegations against the judges are "frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); *accord* Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (J.C.U.S.) Rule 11(c)(1)(C)-(D). Accordingly, the allegations must be dismissed.

The complaint is dismissed.

December 19, 2018



Lavenski R. Smith, Chief Judge
United States Court of Appeals
for the Eighth Circuit